#### UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

# Ecosystem services of church forests and wetlands: supporting rural human well-being in Lake Tana Basin, Ethiopia

Qualifier presentation: 26 February 2019 Tegegne Molla Sitotaw

Supervisors: Prof., Dr. Andy D. Nelson, Dr. Louise Willemen and Dr. Derege Meshesha



FACULTY OF GEO-INFORMATION SCIENCE AND EARTH OBSERVATION

# INTRODUCTION

Ecosystems provide vital resources that benefit people  $\rightarrow$  ecosystem services.

#### ✓ provisioning, regulating and cultural services

Ecosystem condition is linked to human well-being through ecosystem service.



Maes *et al.* (2013)

Ecologically important ecosystems are under pressure. Natural forests, wetlands and grasslands continue to be lost and degraded.



There is lack of information and methods on the links between ecosystem condition and ecosystem service flows in space and time. UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE 3



#### **Church forest and wetland ecosystems**

#### **Church forests**

- Home to old aged Afromontane forests
- Sources of seed, medicinal plants, firewood, tourism
- Conservation of bic
- Protect soil erosion

#### **Wetlands**

- Hotspot of biodiversity (birds and fishes)
- Habitat for globally endangered spp
- Agricultural genetic diversity.
- Support natural pasture land

#### ruction of the

#### Major causes of ecosystem condition changes

#### **Ecosystem fragmentation**



#### **Alien species**





UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

#### **Overexploitation of NRs**



Overgrazing



#### Symptoms of land degradation





Photo credit: Flickr, wassie, 2010

7

#### **Research gaps and aim of the PhD thesis**

Lack of information about the links between ecosystem condition and ecosystem service flows in space and time

Lack of evidence to define and asses the spill over effects of ecosystem service flows with the existing conceptual frameworks that have been developed.

RS-based information for monitoring ecosystem conditions (vegetation biomass, fragmentation and carbon)

This study will explore the use of RS data and groundbased measurements to assess the ecosystem conditions to supply ecosystem service in space and time. **UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE** 

#### **Specific objectives**

| 1 | To assess ecosystem conditions and the link with flows of<br>ecosystem services from church forest and wetland ecosystems   |
|---|---|
| 2 | To explore the spillover effects of ecosystem service flows<br>from church forest and wetland ecosystems to surrounding<br>areas                                    |
| 3 | To assess the spatiotemporal ecosystem condition changes and<br>ecosystem services for the last 40 years to evaluate the<br>protection and management effectiveness |
| 4 | To develop scenarios for policy and ecosystem condition<br>changes for the next 30 years to model the impact on<br>ecosystem services                               |



# **Methodologies per each objectives**

Short summary of materials methods for each specific objective is presented in the following slides



#### The six ecosystem services





#### Objective 1: To assess ecosystem conditions and the link with flows of ecosystem services from church forest and wetland ecosystems.

Methodological approach for ecosystem condition characterization



#### Assessment of ecosystem services

#### **Reed Materials**

- ✓ Ground survey aboveground biomass (AGB) data
- $\checkmark$  Nine random transects at a right angle to the lake.
  - ✓ 54 reed stems will be clipped and collected for AGB dry weight on 27 sites from 2 m x 2 m quadrants. The height of all reed stems will be measured and recorded.
- Correlation and regression analysis between AGB and Sentinel-2 VI will be used

#### Pasture for livestock grazing

- ✓ VI of Sentinel-2 imagery and widely distributed ground-based ANPP
- $\checkmark$  Summer (rainy season) and winter (dry) ANPP pasture data
- ✓ 40 sample sites with 0.5 x 0.5 m quadrant for dry weight
- $\checkmark$  Livestock carrying capacity of the grassland

#### Sampling frame for reed and pasture field data collection



#### **Climate regulation**

AGB on 44 plots with 50 m x 50 m quadrants with 100 m distance. Relationship will be created with the Sentinel-2 VI of Sentinel-2 image

Net ecosystem production (NEP) = carbon sequestration

 $NEP = NPP - R_h$ 



## **Crop pollination**

- ✓ Ground survey on 44 plots with 50 m x 50 m quadrants of church forest
- ✓ Floral availability map
- ✓ Foraging range of a specific guild of pollinators
- ✓ Potential pollinator nesting habitats
- ✓ Pollinator activity index



Relative pollination potential



# **Erosion control**

Soil erosion assessment framework: Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE)

Soil erosion control is calculated with and without church forest cover  $(\mathbf{C})$  and the difference is the erosion control service.

#### $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{K}^* \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S}^* \mathbf{C}^* \mathbf{P}$

C-factor – reflect the ecosystem conditions of protected and conservation areas

✓ Sentinel-2 VI





# Tourism

- Geotagged photos uploaded to social media and web-based photo sharing sites (Google Earth, Flickr, Instagram)
- Geotagged photos as a proxy for number of visitors
- Generalized Mixed Effects Model (GME) will be used Geotagged photos = f (Landscape compositions)

Accessibility, Naturalness, Water bodies, Biodiversity hotsp Protected areas, presence of infras





**Objective 2: To explore the spill over effects of ecosystem service flows from church forest and wetland ecosystems to surrounding areas** 

**Spill over effect:** refers to the path of ecosystems service flow from service providing areas to benefiting areas.

Information on where services are provided and benefits are received is required

| Ecosystem service     | Directional spill over | What?                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Raw materials         | Omni-directional       | Areas benefiting from conservation    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       |                        | areas of reed plants                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pasture for livestock | Omni-directional       | Settlement areas benefiting from      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| grazing               |                        | communal wetland grazing land         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon sequestration  | Omni-directional       | Changes in local surface temperature  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pollination           | Local proximal         | Pollinator dependent agricultural     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | (depends on            | areas crop areas outside the service  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | proximity)             | providing areas                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil erosion control  | Directional (slope)    | In-situ erosion control by vegetation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       |                        | cover                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tourism               | User movement          | Flow of people to unique natural      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | related                | features                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **Spill over effects**

Outcomes of the six ecosystem services in objective 1 will be input to assess the spill over effects to surrounding human dominated areas In this objective, spatially-explicit regression model will be used assess the spatial spill overs of individual ecosystem services by mapping provisioning areas and the corresponding benefiting areas.

Total service benefiting area

#### **Objective 3: To assess the spatiotemporal ecosystem condition changes and ecosystem services**

- ✓ Conversion of native forests, wetlands and grasslands into humandominated landscapes reduce in many ecosystem services.
- $\checkmark$  Designed to assess the conservation effectiveness for the last 40 years
- $\checkmark$  Spatiotemporal ecosystem condition changes and services
- ✓ Landsat and Sentinel-2 satellite images Satellite images



# **Objective 4: To develop scenarios for policy and ecosystem condition changes for the next 30 years to model the impact on ecosystem services**

- Scenarios for policy and ecosystem condition changes for the next 30 years
- scenarios based on biomass degradation, population growth, fragmentation, alien species expansion, infrastructure development and climate change.
- valuable for policy and decision-making processes regarding the conservation and use of natural resources



# The data and methodological link between the 4 specific objectives





#### **UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.**

Dank u wel Merci Danke Gracias Shukriyaa Thanks a lot for your attention Questions?

6

Tegegne Molla Sitotaw t.m.sitotaw@utwente.nl

FACULTY OF GEO-INFORMATION SCIENCE AND EARTH OBSERVATION

### Activity plan

|    |  | 2018 2019 |   |   | 2020 |   |   |   | 2021 |   |   |   | 2022 |   |   |   |   |
|----|--|-----------|---|---|------|---|---|---|------|---|---|---|------|---|---|---|---|
| No | Activities   | 3         | 4 | I | 2    | 3 | 4 | I | 2    | 3 | 4 | I | 2    | 3 | 4 | I | 2 |
| I  | PhD proposal preparation and qualifier   |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 2  | Preparation of data collection tools   |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 3  | 3 Field data collection  |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 4  | Data analysis and first two objectives paper write-up  |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 5  | Coursework and training  |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 6  | Second round data collection satellite<br>imageries, reference data, policy documents,<br>secondary data                       |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 7  | Present findings of the first two papers,<br>sending papers for comments and editing's,<br>and for journals                    |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 8  | Data analysis and third objective paper write-<br>up   |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 9  | Data Analysis and final objective papers write-<br>up  |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| ю  | Present findings of the 3rd and 4th objective<br>paper, sending papers for comments and<br>editing's, and as well for journals |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| п  | Incorporate comments and suggestions   |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |
| 12 | 12 Thesis Finalization   |           |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |      |   |   |   |   |

